

Arbitrage of the first kind and filtration enlargements

Beatrice Acciaio

LSE

(based on a joint work with C. Fontana and C. Kardaras)

Outline of the talk

- Problem formulation and motivation
- Progressive enlargement of filtration
- Initial enlargement of filtration
- Examples

- Problem formulation and motivation
- Progressive enlargement of filtration
- Initial enlargement of filtration
- Examples

The problem

The problem:

- ▷ Consider a market without arbitrage profits.
- ▷ Suppose some agents have additional information.
- ▷ Can they use this information to realize arbitrage profits?

The problem

The problem:

- ▷ Consider a market without arbitrage profits.
- ▷ Suppose some agents have additional information.
- ▷ Can they use this information to realize arbitrage profits?

Mathematically:

- ▷ **market** : $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P}, S)$, with \mathbb{F} satisfying the usual conditions, $S = (S^i)_{i=1,\dots,d}$ non-negative semimartingale, $S^0 \equiv 1$.
- ▷ **additional information**:
 - progressive enlargement of filtration (with any random time)
 - initial enlargement of filtration
- ▷ **arbitrage profits**: ...(some motivation first)...

The basic example

- ▶ Let W be a standard Brownian motion on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}^W, \mathbb{P})$.
- ▶ Let S represent the discounted price of an asset and be given by

$$S_t = \exp\left(\sigma W_t - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t\right), \quad \sigma > 0 \text{ given.}$$

- ▶ Let $S_t^* := \sup\{S_u, u \leq t\}$ and define the random time

$$\tau := \sup\{t : S_t = S_\infty^*\} = \sup\{t : S_t = S_t^*\}$$

- ▶ An agent with information τ can follow the **arbitrage strategy**

“buy at $t = 0$ and sell at $t = \tau$ ”

The basic example

- ▶ Let W be a standard Brownian motion on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}^W, \mathbb{P})$.
- ▶ Let S represent the discounted price of an asset and be given by

$$S_t = \exp\left(\sigma W_t - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t\right), \quad \sigma > 0 \text{ given.}$$

- ▶ Let $S_t^* := \sup\{S_u, u \leq t\}$ and define the random time

$$\tau := \sup\{t : S_t = S_\infty^*\} = \sup\{t : S_t = S_t^*\}$$

- ▶ An agent with information τ can follow the **arbitrage strategy**

“buy at $t = 0$ and sell at $t = \tau$ ”

Remark. Here τ is an **honest time**: $\forall t \geq 0 \exists \xi_t \mathcal{F}_t^W$ -measurable s.t. $\tau = \xi_t$ on $\{\tau \leq t\}$ (e.g., $\xi_t := \sup\{u \leq t : S_u = \sup_{r \leq t} S_r\}$).

$\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}, S)$ admissible wealth processes: $X^{x,H} := x + \int_0^\cdot H_t dS_t \geq 0$

We use the notation:

- **NA(\mathbb{F}, S)**: there is no $X^{1,H} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}, S)$ s.t. $\mathbb{P}[X_\infty^{1,H} \geq 1] = 1$, $\mathbb{P}[X_\infty^{1,H} > 1] > 0$.
- **NFLVR(\mathbb{F}, S)**: there are no $\epsilon > 0$, $0 \leq \delta_n \uparrow 1$, $X^{1,H^n} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}, S)$ s.t. $\mathbb{P}[X_\infty^{1,H^n} > \delta_n] = 1$, $\mathbb{P}[X_\infty^{1,H^n} > 1 + \epsilon] \geq \epsilon$.
- **NA1(\mathbb{F}, S)**: there is no $\xi \geq 0$ with $\mathbb{P}[\xi > 0] > 0$ s.t. for all $x > 0$, $\exists X \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}, S)$ with $X_0 = x$ and $\mathbb{P}[X_\infty \geq \xi] = 1$.

Remark. NA1 (Kardaras, 2010) \iff BK (Kabanov, 1997)
 \iff NUPBR (Karatzas, Kardaras 2007)

$$\blacktriangleright \text{NFLVR} \iff \text{NA} + \text{NA1}$$

► NFLVR \iff NA + NA1

► NFLVR $\iff \exists$ equivalent local martingale measure for S

- ▶ NFLVR \iff NA + NA1
- ▶ NFLVR $\iff \exists$ equivalent local martingale measure for S
- ▶ NA1 $\iff \exists$ supermartingale deflator (Karatzas, Kardaras'07):
 $Y > 0, Y_0 = 1$ s.t. YX is a supermartingale $\forall X \in \mathcal{X}$
 - $\iff \exists$ loc. martingale deflator (Takaoka, Schweizer'13, Song'13):
 $Y > 0, Y_0 = 1$ s.t. YX is a local martingale $\forall X \in \mathcal{X}$
 - $\iff \exists$ treadable loc. martingale deflator (A.F.K.'14):
 Y local martingale deflator s.t. $1/Y \in \mathcal{X}$ (up to $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$)

Why NA1? - Let me try to convince you

- ▶ As seen in the basic example, **NA** and **NFLVR** easily fail under additional information.

Why NA1? - Let me try to convince you

- ▷ As seen in the basic example, **NA** and **NFLVR** easily fail under additional information.
- ▷ Whereas when an **arbitrage** exists we are in general not able to spot it, when an **arbitrage of the first kind** exists we are able to construct (and hence exploit) it (NA1 is completely characterized in terms of the characteristic triplet of S).

Why NA1? - Let me try to convince you

- ▷ As seen in the basic example, **NA** and **NFLVR** easily fail under additional information.
- ▷ Whereas when an **arbitrage** exists we are in general not able to spot it, when an **arbitrage of the first kind** exists we are able to construct (and hence exploit) it (NA1 is completely characterized in terms of the characteristic triplet of S).
- ▷ NA1 is the minimal condition in order to proceed with utility maximization.
- ▷ NA1 is stable under change of numéraire.
- ▷ NA1 is equivalent to the existence of a numéraire portfolio X^* (= growth optimal portfolio = log optimal portfolio), in which case $1/X^*$ is a supermartingale deflator.

On progressive enlargement:

- Fontana, Jeanblanc, Song 2013:
 S continuous, PRP, τ honest and avoids all \mathbb{F} -stopping times, NFLVR in the original market. Then in the enlarged market:
 - ▷ on $[0, \infty)$: NA1, NA and NFLVR all fail;
 - ▷ on $[0, \tau]$: NA and NFLVR fail, but NA1 holds.
- Kreher 2014:
all \mathbb{F} -martingales are continuous, τ avoids all \mathbb{F} -stopping times, NFLVR in the original market.
- Aksamit, Choulli, Deng, Jeanblanc 2013:
using optional stochastic integral, (S quasi-left-continuous).

On initial enlargement: nothing in the literature that we are aware of. Some work in progress by Jeanblanc et al.

- Problem formulation and motivation
- Progressive enlargement of filtration
- Initial enlargement of filtration
- Examples

Progressive enlargement of filtrations

- ▶ Let τ be a **random time** (= positive, finite, \mathcal{F} -measurable r.v.).
- ▶ Consider the **progressively enlarged filtration** $\mathbb{G} = (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$,
$$\mathcal{G}_t := \{B \in \mathcal{F} \mid B \cap \{\tau > t\} = B_t \cap \{\tau > t\} \text{ for some } B_t \in \mathcal{F}_t\}.$$

Progressive enlargement of filtrations

- ▶ Let τ be a **random time** (= positive, finite, \mathcal{F} -measurable r.v.).
- ▶ Consider the **progressively enlarged filtration** $\mathbb{G} = (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$,
$$\mathcal{G}_t := \{B \in \mathcal{F} \mid B \cap \{\tau > t\} = B_t \cap \{\tau > t\} \text{ for some } B_t \in \mathcal{F}_t\}.$$
- ▶ Jeulin-Yor theorem ensures that \mathcal{H}' -hypothesis holds **up to τ** :
every \mathbb{F} -semimartingale remains a \mathbb{G} -semimartingale up to time τ
(in particular S^τ is a \mathbb{G} -semimartingale).

Our main tools

- ▷ The Azéma supermartingale associated to τ :

$$Z_t := \mathbb{P}[\tau > t \mid \mathcal{F}_t]$$

Our main tools

- ▷ The Azéma supermartingale associated to τ :

$$Z_t := \mathbb{P}[\tau > t \mid \mathcal{F}_t]$$

- ▷ Let A be the \mathbb{F} -dual optional projection of $\mathbb{I}_{\llbracket \tau, \infty \llbracket}$, so that $\Delta A_\sigma = \mathbb{P}[\tau = \sigma \mid \mathcal{F}_\sigma]$ for all \mathbb{F} -stopping times σ .

Our main tools

- ▷ The Azéma supermartingale associated to τ :

$$Z_t := \mathbb{P}[\tau > t \mid \mathcal{F}_t]$$

- ▷ Let A be the \mathbb{F} -dual optional projection of $\mathbb{I}_{[\tau, \infty[}$, so that $\Delta A_\sigma = \mathbb{P}[\tau = \sigma \mid \mathcal{F}_\sigma]$ for all \mathbb{F} -stopping times σ .
- ▷ Define the stopping time $\zeta := \inf \{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid Z_t = 0\} \geq \tau$.

Our main tools

- ▶ The Azéma supermartingale associated to τ :

$$Z_t := \mathbb{P}[\tau > t \mid \mathcal{F}_t]$$

- ▶ Let A be the \mathbb{F} -dual optional projection of $\mathbb{I}_{[\tau, \infty[}$, so that $\Delta A_\sigma = \mathbb{P}[\tau = \sigma \mid \mathcal{F}_\sigma]$ for all \mathbb{F} -stopping times σ .
- ▶ Define the stopping time $\zeta := \inf \{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid Z_t = 0\} \geq \tau$.
- ▶ Define $\Lambda := \{\zeta < \infty, Z_{\zeta-} > 0, \Delta A_\zeta = 0\} \in \mathcal{F}_\zeta$
= set where Z jumps to zero after τ

Our main tools

- ▷ The Azéma supermartingale associated to τ :

$$Z_t := \mathbb{P}[\tau > t \mid \mathcal{F}_t]$$

- ▷ Let A be the \mathbb{F} -dual optional projection of $\mathbb{I}_{[\tau, \infty[}$, so that $\Delta A_\sigma = \mathbb{P}[\tau = \sigma \mid \mathcal{F}_\sigma]$ for all \mathbb{F} -stopping times σ .
- ▷ Define the stopping time $\zeta := \inf \{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid Z_t = 0\} \geq \tau$.
- ▷ Define $\Lambda := \{\zeta < \infty, Z_{\zeta-} > 0, \Delta A_\zeta = 0\} \in \mathcal{F}_\zeta$
= set where Z jumps to zero after τ
- ▷ and define

$$\eta := \zeta \mathbb{I}_\Lambda + \infty \mathbb{I}_{\Omega \setminus \Lambda}$$

Note that $\tau < \eta$; η = **time when Z jumps to zero after τ** .

Representation pair associated with τ

Theorem (Itô, Watanabe 1965, Kardaras 2014).

The Azéma supermartingale Z admits the following multiplicative decomposition:

$$Z = \mathbf{L}(1 - K),$$

where:

- L is a nonnegative \mathbb{F} -local martingale with $L_0 = 1$,
- K is a nondecreasing \mathbb{F} -adapted process with $0 \leq K \leq 1$,
- for any nonnegative optional processes V on (Ω, \mathbb{F}) ,

$$\mathbb{E}[V_\tau] = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} V_t L_t dK_t \right].$$

- ▷▷ Together with the stopping time η , the local martingale \mathbf{L} will play a main role in our results.

Back to the basic example

Asset price process: $S_t = \exp\left(\sigma W_t - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t\right)$

Random time: $\tau := \sup\{t : S_t = S_\infty^*\}$

In this case

$$Z_t = \mathbb{P}[\tau > t \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = \frac{S_t}{S_t^*}$$

Therefore:

▷ $\eta = \infty$ and $L = S$

▷ $Y := 1/L^\tau = 1/S^\tau$ is a local martingale deflator for S^τ in \mathbb{G} .

⇒ NA1 holds while NA and NFLVR fail.

Back to the basic example

Asset price process: $S_t = \exp\left(\sigma W_t - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t\right)$

Random time: $\tau := \sup\{t : S_t = S_\infty^*\}$

In this case

$$Z_t = \mathbb{P}[\tau > t \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = \frac{S_t}{S_t^*}$$

Therefore:

▷ $\eta = \infty$ and $L = S$

▷ $Y := 1/L^\tau = 1/S^\tau$ is a local martingale deflator for S^τ in \mathbb{G} .

⇒ **NA1 holds while NA and NFLVR fail.**

Remarks.

1) Analogous situation for $\tau' := \sup\{t : S_t = a\}$, $0 < a < 1$.

2) The decomposition $Z_t = L_t/L_t^*$ holds for a wide class of honest times (see Nikeghbali, Yor 2006, Kardaras 2013, A., Penner 2014)

Remember: η is the time when Z jumps to zero after τ .

Proposition. Let X be a nonnegative \mathbb{F} -local martingale such that $X = 0$ on $[\![\eta, \infty[\![$. Then X^τ/L^τ is a \mathbb{G} -local martingale.

- ▷ The main tool in the proof of the proposition is the multiplicative decomposition of Z .

Local martingales in the progressively enlarged filtration

Remember: η is the time when Z jumps to zero after τ .

Proposition. Let X be a nonnegative \mathbb{F} -local martingale such that $X = 0$ on $[\eta, \infty[$. Then X^τ/L^τ is a \mathbb{G} -local martingale.

- ▶ The main tool in the proof of the proposition is the multiplicative decomposition of Z .

As an immediate consequence we have the following

Key-Proposition. Suppose there exists a local martingale deflator M for S in \mathbb{F} such that $M = 0$ on $[\eta, \infty[$. Then M^τ/L^τ is a local martingale deflator for S^τ in \mathbb{G} .

An important lemma

\Rightarrow To have preservation of the NA1 property, given a deflator for S in \mathbb{F} , we want to “kill it” from η on.

We will do it with the help of the following lemma.

Lemma. Let D be the \mathbb{F} -predictable compensator of $\mathbb{I}_{[\eta, \infty[}$. Then:

- $\Delta D < 1$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. ($\Rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-D) > 0$ and nonincreasing);
- $\mathcal{E}(-D)^{-1} \mathbb{I}_{[0, \eta[}$ is a local martingale on $(\Omega, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

Main idea: for any predictable time σ on (Ω, \mathbb{F}) ,

$$\Delta D_\sigma = \mathbb{P}[\eta = \sigma \mid \mathcal{F}_{\sigma-}] < 1 \text{ on } \{\sigma < \infty\}.$$

- ▷ We have preservation of NA1 under the condition: S does not jump when Z jumps to zero:

Theorem (one fixed S). Suppose $\mathbb{P}[\eta < \infty, \Delta S_\eta \neq 0] = 0$.
If $\text{NA1}(\mathbb{F}, S)$ holds, then $\text{NA1}(\mathbb{G}, S^\tau)$ holds.

Proof of the theorem

Recall: D is the \mathbb{F} -predictable compensator of $\mathbb{I}_{[\eta, \infty[}$.

- $\text{NA1}(\mathbb{F}, S) \Rightarrow \exists \hat{X} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}, S)$ s.t. $Y := (1/\hat{X})$ is a local martingale deflator for S in \mathbb{F} ($\Rightarrow \Delta Y = 0$ when $\Delta S = 0$).
- In order to apply the Key-Proposition, we need a deflator for S in \mathbb{F} that vanishes on the set $\mathbb{I}_{[\eta, \infty[}$.

Proof of the theorem

Recall: D is the \mathbb{F} -predictable compensator of $\mathbb{I}_{[\eta, \infty[}$.

- $\text{NA1}(\mathbb{F}, S) \Rightarrow \exists \hat{X} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}, S)$ s.t. $Y := (1/\hat{X})$ is a local martingale deflator for S in \mathbb{F} ($\Rightarrow \Delta Y = 0$ when $\Delta S = 0$).
- In order to apply the Key-Proposition, we need a deflator for S in \mathbb{F} that vanishes on the set $\mathbb{I}_{[\eta, \infty[}$.
- Let $M := Y\mathcal{E}(-D)^{-1}\mathbb{I}_{[0, \eta[}$ ($\Rightarrow \{M > 0\} = \mathbb{I}_{[0, \eta[}$).
- By the Lemma, $MS - [\mathcal{E}(-D)^{-1}\mathbb{I}_{[0, \eta[, YS]$ \mathbb{F} -local martingale.

Proof of the theorem

Recall: D is the \mathbb{F} -predictable compensator of $\mathbb{I}_{[\eta, \infty[}$.

- $\text{NA1}(\mathbb{F}, S) \Rightarrow \exists \hat{X} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}, S)$ s.t. $Y := (1/\hat{X})$ is a local martingale deflator for S in \mathbb{F} ($\Rightarrow \Delta Y = 0$ when $\Delta S = 0$).
 - In order to apply the Key-Proposition, we need a deflator for S in \mathbb{F} that vanishes on the set $\llbracket \eta, \infty \rrbracket$.
 - Let $M := Y\mathcal{E}(-D)^{-1}\mathbb{I}_{[0, \eta[}$ ($\Rightarrow \{M > 0\} = \llbracket 0, \eta \rrbracket$).
 - By the Lemma, $MS - [\mathcal{E}(-D)^{-1}\mathbb{I}_{[0, \eta[, YS]$ \mathbb{F} -local martingale.
 - We want M to be a deflator for S in \mathbb{F} , so we need to show that the quadratic covariation part is an \mathbb{F} -local martingale.
 - $\Delta S_\eta = 0 \Rightarrow \Delta(YS)_\eta = 0 \Rightarrow [.., ..] = [\mathcal{E}(-D)^{-1}, YS]$, which is indeed an \mathbb{F} -local martingale.
- $\Rightarrow (\hat{X}^{-1}\mathcal{E}(-D)^{-1}L^{-1})^\tau$ is a deflator for S^τ in \mathbb{G}

Theorem (general stability). TFAE:

- 1) for any S s.t. $\text{NA1}(\mathbb{F}, S)$ holds, $\text{NA1}(\mathbb{G}, S^\tau)$ holds;
- 2) $\eta = \infty$ \mathbb{P} -a.s.;
- 3) For every nonnegative local martingale X on $(\Omega, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$, the process X^τ/L^τ is a local martingale on $(\Omega, \mathbb{G}, \mathbb{P})$;
- 4) The process $1/L^\tau$ is a local martingale on $(\Omega, \mathbb{G}, \mathbb{P})$.

2) \Rightarrow 1): from previous Theorem.

1) \Rightarrow 2): suppose $\mathbb{P}[\eta < \infty] > 0$. Define

$$S := \mathcal{E}(-D)^{-1} \mathbb{I}_{[0, \eta[}.$$

Then S is a \mathbb{F} -local martingale, and S^τ is nondecreasing with $\mathbb{P}[S_\tau > 1] > 0$. Hence $\text{NA1}(\mathbb{F}, S)$ holds, but $\text{NA1}(\mathbb{G}, S^\tau)$ fails.

2) \Rightarrow 3): from the Proposition.

3) \Rightarrow 4): trivial.

4) \Rightarrow 2): uses properties of the processes L and K appearing in the multiplicative decomposition of Z .

Proposition. Let X be a nonnegative \mathbb{F} -supermartingale. Then, the process X^τ/L^τ is a \mathbb{G} -supermartingale.

Remark. This can be used to establish that for any semimartingale X on $(\Omega, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$, the process X^τ is a semimartingale on $(\Omega, \mathbb{G}, \mathbb{P})$.

Indeed:

- By the Proposition, $\forall X$ nonnegative bounded \mathbb{F} -local martingale $\Rightarrow X^\tau/L^\tau$ and $1/L^\tau$ are \mathbb{G} -semimartingales $\Rightarrow X^\tau$ is a \mathbb{G} -semimartingale.
- From the semimartingale decomposition + localisation, same result for any \mathbb{F} -semimartingale X .

- Problem formulation and motivation
- Progressive enlargement of filtration
- Initial enlargement of filtration
- Examples

Initial enlargement of filtrations

- ▶ Let \mathbf{J} be an \mathcal{F} -measurable random variable taking values in a Lusin space (E, \mathcal{B}_E) , where \mathcal{B}_E denotes the Borel σ -field of E .
- ▶ Let $\mathbb{G} = (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ be the right-continuous augmentation of the filtration $\mathbb{G}^0 = (\mathcal{G}_t^0)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_t^0 := \mathcal{F}_t \vee \sigma(J), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Initial enlargement of filtrations

- ▶ Let J be an \mathcal{F} -measurable random variable taking values in a Lusin space (E, \mathcal{B}_E) , where \mathcal{B}_E denotes the Borel σ -field of E .
- ▶ Let $\mathbb{G} = (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ be the right-continuous augmentation of the filtration $\mathbb{G}^0 = (\mathcal{G}_t^0)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_t^0 := \mathcal{F}_t \vee \sigma(J), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

- ▶ Let $\gamma : \mathcal{B}_E \mapsto [0, 1]$ be the law of J ($\gamma[B] = \mathbb{P}[J \in B]$, $B \in \mathcal{B}_E$).
- ▶ For all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, let $\gamma_t : \Omega \times \mathcal{B}_E \mapsto [0, 1]$ be a regular version of the \mathcal{F}_t -conditional law of J .

Initial enlargement of filtrations

- ▶ Let \mathbf{J} be an \mathcal{F} -measurable random variable taking values in a Lusin space (E, \mathcal{B}_E) , where \mathcal{B}_E denotes the Borel σ -field of E .
- ▶ Let $\mathbb{G} = (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ be the right-continuous augmentation of the filtration $\mathbb{G}^0 = (\mathcal{G}_t^0)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_t^0 := \mathcal{F}_t \vee \sigma(J), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

- ▶ Let $\gamma : \mathcal{B}_E \mapsto [0, 1]$ be the law of J ($\gamma[B] = \mathbb{P}[J \in B]$, $B \in \mathcal{B}_E$).
- ▶ For all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, let $\gamma_t : \Omega \times \mathcal{B}_E \mapsto [0, 1]$ be a regular version of the \mathcal{F}_t -conditional law of J .

Jacod's hypothesis. We assume

$$\gamma_t \ll \gamma \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

This ensures the \mathcal{H}' -hypothesis and that we can apply Stricker&Yor calculus with one parameter ($\mathbb{L}^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ separable).

Our main tools

$\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F})$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$) is the \mathbb{F} -optional (resp. pred.) σ -field on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$

Lemma. There exists a $\mathcal{B}_E \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F})$ -measurable function

$E \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \ni (x, \omega, t) \mapsto p_t^x(\omega) \in [0, \infty)$, càdlàg in $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ s.t.:

- $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \gamma_t(dx) = \mathbf{p}_t^x \gamma(dx)$ holds \mathbb{P} -a.s;
- $\forall x \in E, p^x = (p_t^x)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a martingale on $(\Omega, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

Our main tools

$\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F})$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$) is the \mathbb{F} -optional (resp. pred.) σ -field on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$

Lemma. There exists a $\mathcal{B}_E \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F})$ -measurable function $E \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \ni (x, \omega, t) \mapsto p_t^x(\omega) \in [0, \infty)$, càdlàg in $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ s.t.:

- $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \gamma_t(dx) = \mathbf{p}_t^x \gamma(dx)$ holds \mathbb{P} -a.s;
- $\forall x \in E, p^x = (p_t^x)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a martingale on $(\Omega, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

▷ For every $x \in E$ define

$$\zeta^x := \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid p_t^x = 0\}.$$

Our main tools

$\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F})$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$) is the \mathbb{F} -optional (resp. pred.) σ -field on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$

Lemma. There exists a $\mathcal{B}_E \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F})$ -measurable function

$E \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \ni (x, \omega, t) \mapsto p_t^x(\omega) \in [0, \infty)$, càdlàg in $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ s.t.:

- $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \gamma_t(dx) = p_t^x \gamma(dx)$ holds \mathbb{P} -a.s;
- $\forall x \in E, p^x = (p_t^x)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a martingale on $(\Omega, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$.

▷ For every $x \in E$ define

$$\zeta^x := \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid p_t^x = 0\}.$$

▷ Let $\Lambda^x := \{\zeta^x < \infty, p_{\zeta^x-}^x > 0\} \in \mathcal{F}_{\zeta^x}$ and define

$$\eta^x := \zeta^x \mathbb{I}_{\Lambda^x} + \infty \mathbb{I}_{\Omega \setminus \Lambda^x}, \quad x \in E$$

Note that η^x (= **time at which p^x jumps to zero**) is a stopping time on (Ω, \mathbb{F}) .

▷ Similar results for the martingale deflators lead to:

Theorem (one fixed S). Let $\mathbb{P}[\eta^x < \infty, \Delta S_{\eta^x} \neq 0] = 0$ γ -a.e. If $\text{NA1}(\mathbb{F}, S)$ holds, then $\text{NA1}(\mathbb{G}, S)$ holds.

Theorem (general stability). TFAE:

- 1) $\eta^x = \infty$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. for γ -a.e $x \in E$.
- 2) for all $X \geq 0$ $\mathcal{B}_E \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F})$ -meas. s.t. X^x \mathbb{F} -loc.martingale vanishing on $[\![\eta^x, \infty]\![$ γ -a.e., X^J/p^J is a \mathbb{G} -loc.martingale
- 3) The process $1/p^J$ is a \mathbb{G} -loc.martingale

And 1) \Rightarrow For any S s.t. $\text{NA1}(\mathbb{F}, S)$ holds, $\text{NA1}(\mathbb{G}, S)$ also holds.

▷ Some care for the converse; we can derive \mathcal{H}' -hyp.

- Problem formulation and motivation
- Progressive enlargement of filtration
- Initial enlargement of filtration
- Examples

Example 1: progressively enlarged filtration

- ▷ Consider $\zeta : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\mathbb{P}[\zeta > t] = \exp(-t), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.
- ▷ Let $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ be the smallest filtration that satisfies the usual hypotheses and makes ζ a stopping time.
- ▷ Define $\tau := \zeta/2$.

Example 1: progressively enlarged filtration

- ▷ Consider $\zeta : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\mathbb{P}[\zeta > t] = \exp(-t), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.
- ▷ Let $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ be the smallest filtration that satisfies the usual hypotheses and makes ζ a stopping time.
- ▷ Define $\tau := \zeta/2$.
- ▷ Note that $Z_t := \mathbb{P}[\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_t] = \exp(-t) \mathbb{I}_{\{t < \zeta\}}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.
- ▷ Note that $\zeta = \inf \{t \geq 0 \mid Z_t = 0\} =: \eta < \infty$ \mathbb{P} -a.s.
- ▷ The \mathbb{F} -pred. comp. of $\mathbb{I}_{[\eta, \infty[}$ is $D := (\eta \wedge t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$.

Example 1: progressively enlarged filtration

- ▷ Consider $\zeta : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\mathbb{P}[\zeta > t] = \exp(-t), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.
- ▷ Let $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ be the smallest filtration that satisfies the usual hypotheses and makes ζ a stopping time.
- ▷ Define $\tau := \zeta/2$.
- ▷ Note that $Z_t := \mathbb{P}[\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_t] = \exp(-t) \mathbb{I}_{\{t < \zeta\}}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.
- ▷ Note that $\zeta = \inf \{t \geq 0 \mid Z_t = 0\} =: \eta < \infty$ \mathbb{P} -a.s.
- ▷ The \mathbb{F} -pred. comp. of $\mathbb{I}_{[\eta, \infty]}$ is $D := (\eta \wedge t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$.
- ▷ $\mathbf{S} := \mathcal{E}(-D)^{-1} \mathbb{I}_{[0, \eta]} = \exp(D) \mathbb{I}_{[0, \eta]}$, that is, $S_t = \exp(t) \mathbb{I}_{\{t < \zeta\}}$.
- ▷ S nonnegative \mathbb{F} -martingale $\Rightarrow \text{NA1}(\mathbb{F}, S)$.
- ▷ But S is strictly increasing up to $\tau \Rightarrow \text{NA1}(\mathbb{G}, S^\tau)$ fails.

Example 2: initially enlarged filtration

- ▷ Consider a Poisson(λ) process N stopped at time $T \in (0, \infty)$.
- ▷ Let \mathbb{F} be the right-cont. filtration generated by N and $\mathbf{J} := N_T$.
- ▷ Then (Gorod, Pontier 2001) $p_T^x = e^{-\lambda T} x! / (\lambda T)^x \mathbb{I}_{\{N_T=x\}}$ and

$$p_t^x = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda(T-t))^{x-N_t}}{(\lambda T)^x} \frac{x!}{(x-N_t)!} \mathbb{I}_{\{N_t \leq x\}}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T).$$

Example 2: initially enlarged filtration

- ▷ Consider a Poisson(λ) process N stopped at time $T \in (0, \infty)$.
- ▷ Let \mathbb{F} be the right-cont. filtration generated by N and $\mathbf{J} := N_T$.
- ▷ Then (Gorod, Pontier 2001) $p_T^x = e^{-\lambda T} x! / (\lambda T)^x \mathbb{I}_{\{N_T=x\}}$ and

$$p_t^x = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda(T-t))^{x-N_t}}{(\lambda T)^x} \frac{x!}{(x-N_t)!} \mathbb{I}_{\{N_t \leq x\}}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T).$$

- ▷ $\mathbf{S}_t := \exp(N_t - \lambda t(e-1))$, for all $t \in [0, T]$.
- ▷ S is a strictly positive \mathbb{F} -martingale \Rightarrow NA1(\mathbb{F}, S) holds.

Example 2: initially enlarged filtration

- ▷ Consider a Poisson(λ) process N stopped at time $T \in (0, \infty)$.
- ▷ Let \mathbb{F} be the right-cont. filtration generated by N and $\mathbf{J} := N_T$.
- ▷ Then (Gorod, Pontier 2001) $p_T^x = e^{-\lambda T} x! / (\lambda T)^x \mathbb{I}_{\{N_T=x\}}$ and

$$p_t^x = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda(T-t))^{x-N_t}}{(\lambda T)^x} \frac{x!}{(x-N_t)!} \mathbb{I}_{\{N_t \leq x\}}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

- ▷ $\mathbf{S}_t := \exp(N_t - \lambda t(e-1))$, for all $t \in [0, T]$.
- ▷ S is a strictly positive \mathbb{F} -martingale \Rightarrow NA1(\mathbb{F}, S) holds.
- ▷ Define the \mathbb{G} -stopping time $\sigma := \inf \{t \in [0, T] \mid N_t = N_T\}$.
- ▷ For all $t \in [0, T]$, we get

$$(-\mathbb{I}_{\sigma, T} \cdot S)_t = \mathbb{I}_{\{t > \sigma\}} \exp(N_\sigma - \lambda \sigma(e-1)) \left(1 - \exp(-\lambda(t-\sigma)(e-1))\right).$$

- ▷ $-\mathbb{I}_{\sigma, T} \cdot S$ is nondecreasing, $\mathbb{P}[\sigma < T] = 1 \Rightarrow$ NA1(\mathbb{G}, S) fails.

Example 2: initially enlarged filtration

- ▷ Consider a Poisson(λ) process N stopped at time $T \in (0, \infty)$.
- ▷ Let \mathbb{F} be the right-cont. filtration generated by N and $\mathbf{J} := N_T$.
- ▷ Then (Gorod, Pontier 2001) $p_T^x = e^{-\lambda T} x! / (\lambda T)^x \mathbb{I}_{\{N_T=x\}}$ and

$$p_t^x = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda(T-t))^{x-N_t}}{(\lambda T)^x} \frac{x!}{(x-N_t)!} \mathbb{I}_{\{N_t \leq x\}}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T).$$

- ▷ $\mathbf{S}_t := \exp(N_t - \lambda t(e-1))$, for all $t \in [0, T]$.
- ▷ S is a strictly positive \mathbb{F} -martingale \Rightarrow NA1(\mathbb{F}, S) holds.
- ▷ Define the \mathbb{G} -stopping time $\sigma := \inf \{t \in [0, T] \mid N_t = N_T\}$.
- ▷ For all $t \in [0, T]$, we get
$$(-\mathbb{I}_{[\sigma, T]} \cdot S)_t = \mathbb{I}_{\{t > \sigma\}} \exp(N_\sigma - \lambda \sigma(e-1)) \left(1 - \exp(-\lambda(t-\sigma)(e-1))\right).$$
- ▷ $-\mathbb{I}_{[\sigma, T]} \cdot S$ is nondecreasing, $\mathbb{P}[\sigma < T] = 1 \Rightarrow$ NA1(\mathbb{G}, S) fails.

Note: p^x have positive probability to jump to zero exactly in correspondence of the jump times of the Poisson process N (condition $\mathbb{P}[\eta^x < \infty, \Delta S_{\eta^x} \neq 0] = 0$ γ -a.e. fails).

- ▷ We provide a simple and general condition for preservation of NA1 under filtration enlargement for any fixed semimartingale model.
- ▷ We obtain a characterization of NA1 stability under filtration enlargement in a robust context, that is, for all possible semimartingale models.
- ▷ We use easy techniques.
- ▷ We obtain parallel results under progressive and initial enlargements.

- ▷ We provide a simple and general condition for preservation of NA1 under filtration enlargement for any fixed semimartingale model.
- ▷ We obtain a characterization of NA1 stability under filtration enlargement in a robust context, that is, for all possible semimartingale models.
- ▷ We use easy techniques.
- ▷ We obtain parallel results under progressive and initial enlargements.

Thank you for your attention!